

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,404	08/03/2006	Masaki Kitahara	5259-000070/US/NP	6947
27572 7590 93/15/2011 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 828			KIM, HEE-YONG	
BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2482	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/15/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/588,404	KITAHARA ET AL.
	Art Unit
MARSHA D. BANKS HAROLD	2482

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Reque	est for Review filed 4 February 2011.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is in reason(s):</li> </ol>	nproper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been fit☐ The request does not include reason☐ A proposed amendment is included v☐ Other:	
The time period for filing a response continue the mail date of the last Office communication	es to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from n, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal b is required to submit an appeal brief in accord brief will be reset to be one month from mailing from the receipt of the notice of appear.	and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant dance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal gits decision, or the balance of the two-month time period lat, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 6 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the statu Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.16 and 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,,
	has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference taction will be mailed. No further action is req	nas been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office juired by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) MARSHA D. BANKS HAROLD.	(3) Hee Young Kim.
(2) Andy Rao.	(4)
/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2482	